

87

<b>Notice of Allowability</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/085,017	ZEIRA, ASSAF	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2616	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. ☒ This communication is responsive to 06/15/06.
2. ☒ The allowed claim(s) is/are 4,2,3,6,5,7,8,10,14,16,15,12,17-21,9,13,11,25,23,24,27,26,28,29,31,35,37,36,33,38,39,40,41,42,30,34,32,46,44,45,48,49,50,52,56,58,57,54,59,60,61,62,63,51,55,53; renumbered as 1-60 respectively.
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some\*    c) ☐ None    of the:
  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  
**THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.**

4. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
  - (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached
    - 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)            |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),<br>Paper No./Mail Date _____ | 7. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment                               |
| 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit<br>of Biological Material          | 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance   |
|   | 9. <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____.   |

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

1. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 2-21, 23-42 and 44-63 are considered allowable since when reading the claims in light of the specification, none of the references of record alone or in combination disclose or suggest the combinations of limitations specified in the independent claims. As to claims 4, 2, 3 and 6, the prior art of record does not teach (c1) determining an elapsed time from when a previous session corresponding to the account is requested and when the new session is requested, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the elapsed time. As to claim 5, the prior art of record does not teach (c1) determining a session rate value that corresponds to a rate at which the account is authorized to create sessions, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the session rate value. As to claims 7-21 and 28-42, the prior art of record does not teach (c1) determining an elapsed time from when a previous session corresponding to the account is requested and when the new session is requested; (c2) determining a session rate value that corresponds to a rate at which the account is authorized to create sessions; and (c3) determining an Available Tokens value, wherein the Available Tokens value is directly related to a product of the elapsed time and the

Art Unit: 2616

session rate value is directly related to a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage.

As to claims 25, 23,24,and 27 the prior art of record does not teach (c1) determining an elapsed time from when a previous session corresponding to the account is requested and when the new session is requested, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the elapsed time. As to claim 26, the prior art of record does not teach (c1) determining a session rate value that corresponds to a rate at which the account is authorized to create session, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the session rate value. As to claims 46, 44, 45 and 48, the prior art of record does not teach wherein the controller determines an elapsed time from when a previous session corresponding to the account is requested and when the new session is requested, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the elapsed time.

As to claim 47, the prior art of record does not teach wherein the controller determines a session rate value that corresponds to a rate at which the account is authorized to create sessions, wherein a probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage is directly related to the session rate value. As to claims 49-62, the prior art of record does not teach wherein the controller determines an Available Tokens value, wherein the Available Tokens value is directly related to a product of the elapsed time and the session rate value and is directly related to a

Art Unit: 2616

probability that the creation of the new session would not exceed the permitted usage.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax number is (571) 273-8300. Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Electronic Business Center numbers 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

Alexander Boakye

Patent Examiner

AB

7/03/06

  
CHI PHAM  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER 7/6/06